The new language is underlined. The old language is stricken. In addition there is commentary provided at the bottom to explain what these rules do. Right. Senator George asked if this i what we got at home. This is what you got at home. Okay, if I may just read through these very quickly and then I will move this set of miscellaneous rule changes be added as amendments to our rules. The first rule change is to amend Rule 1. Section 16 by inserting a subsection to state that the Speaker shall prepare a daily legislative agenda and shall make every effort possible to deliver the agenda to the members of the Legislature not less than one day prior to the day for which the agenda was prepared. Essentially this is to encourage timely notice to us of the daily agenda but as the phrase says every effort possible. Obviously at some times, particularly late in the session, it will not be possible to get that daily agenda to us twenty four hours in It is basically a statement of our hope and advance. intent with the understanding that the Speaker has a difficult job and won't always be able to fulfill that. I would go on then to page 2. The proposed rule change essentially deals with resolutions and it inserts a new type of resolution that we faced last year and cites it specifically in the rules which says that a resolution which propose amendments to the state constitution, propose the ratification and the new words are "or rejection" of amendments to the federal constitution. Then they would be considered and adopted in the same manner as bills. There was some discussion last year and some ambiguity as to how a resolution that dealt with the rejection of constitutional amendments should be considered, that is this proposal. Page 3, this strikes the limit of only three signers per bill. We felt that since senators have an individual limit on the number of bills and if five senators, if seven senators, if four want to sign a bill, that there should be no reason that they should not be able to sign that bill. It still will count against their bill limit. The page 4 is not really a It is an existing rule that is switched to a different section of the rules. There are a few language changes but it does not in any way change the intent. Page 5 deals with vetoes. You may recall last year at the end of the session that it was suggested that a vetoed bill be brought back, held and that the override then be taken place on the first day of the session. Basically we would have faced that today. This rule change indicates that the veto override must be taken place in the same annual session. Now I am having handed out an amendment to this that